

Law Society of Alberta Code *of* Conduct



SERVING
the PUBLIC
INTEREST

- (h) recognizing limitations in one’s ability to handle a matter or some aspect of it and taking steps accordingly to ensure the client is appropriately served;**
- (i) managing one’s practice effectively;**
- (j) pursuing appropriate professional development to maintain and enhance legal knowledge and skills; and**
- (k) otherwise adapting to changing professional requirements, standards, techniques and practices.**

Competence

2.01 (2) A lawyer must perform all legal services undertaken on a client’s behalf to the standard of a competent lawyer.

Commentary

As a member of the legal profession, a lawyer is held out as knowledgeable, skilled and capable in the practice of law. Accordingly, the client is entitled to assume that the lawyer has the ability and capacity to deal adequately with all legal matters to be undertaken on the client’s behalf.

Competence is founded upon both ethical and legal principles. This rule addresses the ethical principles. Competence involves more than an understanding of legal principles: it involves an adequate knowledge of the practice and procedures by which such principles can be effectively applied. To accomplish this, the lawyer should keep abreast of developments in all areas of law in which the lawyer practises.

In deciding whether the lawyer has employed the requisite degree of knowledge and skill in a particular matter, relevant factors will include:

- (a) the complexity and specialized nature of the matter;
- (b) the lawyer’s general experience;
- (c) the lawyer’s training and experience in the field;
- (d) the preparation and study the lawyer is able to give the matter; and
- (e) whether it is appropriate or feasible to refer the matter to, or associate or consult with, a lawyer of established competence in the field in question.

In some circumstances, expertise in a particular field of law may be required; often the necessary degree of proficiency will be that of the general practitioner.

A lawyer should not undertake a matter without honestly feeling competent to handle it, or being able to become competent without undue delay, risk or expense to the client. The lawyer who proceeds

Code of Conduct

on any other basis is not being honest with the client. This is an ethical consideration and is distinct from the standard of care that a tribunal would invoke for purposes of determining negligence.

A lawyer must recognize a task for which the lawyer lacks competence and the disservice that would be done to the client by undertaking that task. If consulted about such a task, the lawyer should:

- (a) decline to act;
- (b) make reasonable efforts to assist the client to obtain competent legal representation from another lawyer;
- (c) obtain the client's instructions to retain, consult or collaborate with a lawyer who is competent for that task; or
- (d) obtain the client's consent for the lawyer to become competent without undue delay, risk or expense to the client.

The lawyer should also recognize that competence for a particular task may require seeking advice from or collaborating with experts in scientific, accounting or other non-legal fields, and, when it is appropriate, the lawyer should not hesitate to seek the client's instructions to consult experts.

A lawyer should clearly specify the facts, circumstances and assumptions on which an opinion is based, particularly when the circumstances do not justify an exhaustive investigation and the resultant expense to the client. However, unless the client instructs otherwise, the lawyer should investigate the matter in sufficient detail to be able to express an opinion rather than mere comments with many qualifications.

A lawyer must balance the obligation to be thorough with the obligation to be economical. While it is ethically improper to spend a client's money foolishly or unnecessarily, it is also unacceptable to curtail the scope of services in an effort to minimize legal fees when to do so would compromise the lawyer's standard of competence. A lawyer must therefore carefully assess in each case in which a client desires abbreviated or partial services whether, under the circumstances, it is possible to render those services in a competent manner. It may be permissible, for example, to prepare and register a non arm's length transfer of land without attending to closing or the handling of funds, provided that the client understands and accepts the risks involved. It may not be permissible to prepare an abbreviated or simplified contract which, when viewed objectively, is incomplete or insufficient to protect the client's interests. In this case, if the client is not willing to pay the costs of an adequately detailed document, the lawyer must withdraw or provide complete services for less than an amount that fairly compensates the lawyer.

In circumstances in which abbreviated or partial services may be rendered competently, the client must be fully apprised of the risks and limitations of the retainer. Discussions with the client in this regard must be confirmed in writing.

A lawyer should be wary of bold and over-confident assurances to the client, especially when the lawyer's employment may depend upon advising in a particular way.

In addition to opinions on legal questions, a lawyer may be asked for or may be expected to give advice on non-legal matters such as the business, economic, policy or social complications involved

in the question or the course the client should choose. In many instances the lawyer's experience will be such that the lawyer's views on non-legal matters will be of real benefit to the client. The lawyer who expresses views on such matters should, if necessary and to the extent necessary, point out any lack of experience or other qualification in the particular field and should clearly distinguish legal advice from other advice.

In a multi-discipline practice, a lawyer must ensure that the client is made aware that the legal advice from the lawyer may be supplemented by advice or services from a non-lawyer. Advice or services from non-lawyer members of the firm unrelated to the retainer for legal services must be provided independently of and outside the scope of the legal services retainer and from a location separate from the premises of the multi-discipline practice. The provision of non-legal advice or services unrelated to the legal services retainer will also be subject to the constraints outlined in the rules/by-laws/regulations governing multi-discipline practices.

The requirement of conscientious, diligent and efficient service means that a lawyer should make every effort to provide timely service to the client. If the lawyer can reasonably foresee undue delay in providing advice or services, the client should be so informed.

The lawyer should refrain from conduct that may interfere with or compromise his or her capacity or motivation to provide competent legal services to the client and be aware of any factor or circumstance that may have that effect.

A lawyer who is incompetent does the client a disservice, brings discredit to the profession and may bring the administration of justice into disrepute. In addition to damaging the lawyer's own reputation and practice, incompetence may also injure the lawyer's partners and associates.

Incompetence, Negligence and Mistakes

This rule does not require a standard of perfection. An error or omission, even though it might be actionable for damages in negligence or contract, will not necessarily constitute a failure to maintain the standard of professional competence described by the rule. However, evidence of gross neglect in a particular matter or a pattern of neglect or mistakes in different matters may be evidence of such a failure, regardless of tort liability. While damages may be awarded for negligence, incompetence can give rise to the additional sanction of disciplinary action.